arXiv:1111.4685v1 [math.KT] 20 Nov 2011

ALGEBRAIC ANALOGUE OF ATIYAH'S THEOREM.

ALISA KNIZEL AND ALEXANDER NESHITOV.

Abstract In topology there is a well-known theorem of Atyiah which states that for a connected compact Lie group G there is an isomorphism $\widehat{R(G)} \cong K_0(BG)$ where BG is the classifying space of G. In the present paper we consider an algebraic analogue of this theorem. In the paper by B.Totaro [8] it is shown that the $\varprojlim K_0(BG_i)$ is equal $\widehat{R(G)}$ for a specially chosen sequence BG_i. However, to compute $K_0(BG)$ one needs to prove that $\varprojlim^1 K_1(BG_i)$ vanishes. For a split reductive group G over a field we present another aproach and prove that the Borel construction induces a ring isomorphism $\widehat{R(G)}_{I_G} \cong K_0(BG)$, where I_G is the fundamental ideal of $R(G)$. This statement can be formulated in terms of equivariant K-theory as: $\widehat{K_0^G(pt)}_{I_G} \cong K_0(BG)$. The main aim of the present paper is to extend this result for higher K-groups. Namely, we prove that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \cong K_n(BG),$$

where $K_n^G(pt)$ is Thomason's G-equivariant K-theory defined in[3], BG is a motivic étale classifying space introduced by Voevodsky and Morel in [6] and for a motivic space X the group $K_n(X)$ is defined as $Hom_{H_\bullet}(S_s^n \wedge (X_+), BGL \times \mathbb{Z})$([10], thm. 6.5)

1. Introduction

We will work over a field k. Morel and Voevodsky in ([6],Def. 4.2.4, Prop 4.2.6) constructed the étale classifying space of a linear algebraic group G in the form $BG = \bigcup BG_m$, where $BG_m = EG_m/G$ and EG_m are k-smooth algebraic varieties with a free G-action, connected by a sequence of G-equivariant closed embeddings i_k

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{i_{m-1}} (EG)_m \xrightarrow{i_m} (EG)_{m+1} \xrightarrow{i_{m+1}} \cdots$$

The motivic space $EG = \bigcup EG_m$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$ − contractible with a free G-action([6], Prop. 4.2.3). We consider a split reductive affine algebraic group G. A G-equivariant vector bundle over the $pt = Spec(k)$ is the same as a k-rational representation of G. So, we will identify these two categories. Notice that this identification respects the tensor products. In particular, we will identify Thomason's $K_0^G(pt)$ with the representation ring of k-rational representations $R(G)$ of the group G.

The Borel construction sends a G-equivariant vector bundle V over the point to the vector bundle $V_m = (V \times EG_m)/G$ over BG_m. This construction respects tensor products. Therefore it induces a $K_0^G(pt)$-modules map $\phi_m : K_n^G(pt) \to K_n(BG_m)$. Obviously, $\phi_m = \bar{i}_m^{\,*} \circ \phi_{m+1}$, where $\bar{i}_m : BG_m \to BG_{m+1}$ is an embedding induced by i_m. As we will prove below (Proposition 3), $K_n(BG) = \varprojlim K_n(BG_m)$. Combining all these, we get an $K_0^G(pt)$-module map

$$\Phi_n : K_n^G(pt) \to K_n(BG).$$

We will write $Borel_n^G$ for Φ_n. Let I_G be the kernel of the augmentation $K_0^G(pt) \to K_0(pt) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1 (main) :
In the following diagram both maps are $K_0^G(pt)$-module isomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} & K_n(BG) \arrow[l, "completion_G"'] \end{tikzcd},$$

where $\widehat{Borel_n^G}$ is the I_G completion of $Borel_n^G$, and $completion_G$ is the canonical map.

The main idea of the proof is the reduction to a Borel subgroup B of G. For the Borel subgroup B the $K_0^B(pt)$-modules $K_n(BB)$ and $K_n^B(pt)$ can be computed explicitly. It results in

Theorem 2 The Borel construction induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widehat{K_n^B(pt)}_{I_B} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^B}"] & \widehat{K_n(BB)}_{I_B} & K_n(BB) \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

To make a reduction to the Theorem 2 we prove

Theorem 3 There is a commutative diagram of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{tikzcd} \widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] \arrow[d, "res"'] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p^*}"'] & K_n(BG) \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "p^*"'] \\ \widehat{K_n^B(pt)}_{I_B} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^B}"] \arrow[d, "ind"'] & \widehat{K_n(BB)}_{I_B} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p_*}"'] & K_n(BB) \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "p_*"'] \\ \widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} & K_n(BG) \arrow[l] \end{tikzcd}$$

With $ind \circ res = id$, $\widehat{p_*} \circ \widehat{p^*} = id$, $p_* \circ p^* = id$.

Remark. Clearly main theorem follows from theorem 2 and theorem 3. Now we are working on the generalization of this result for the case of non-split reductive algebraic groups.

Acknowledgements. Authors are grateful to Prof. Ivan Panin for constant attention and useful suggestions concerning the subject of this paper.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we prove some properties of pullback and pushforward morphisms for K_n^G functor. Thomason in [3] developed G-equivariant K-theory.(c.f. Merkurjev's paper [2])

Definition 1. Let X be a G-variety. We consider an action $\mu_x : G \times X \to X$ and a projection $p_x : G \times X \to X$. Let M be an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Following [2] we will call M a G-module if there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{G\times X}$-modules $\alpha : \mu_X^*(M) \to p_X^*(M)$ such that the cocycle condition holds:

$$p_{23}^*(\alpha) \circ (id_G \times \mu_x)^*(\alpha) = (m \times id_X)^*(\alpha)$$

where $p_{23} : G \times G \times X \to G \times X$ is a projection and $m : G \times G \to G$ is a product morphism.

Definition 2. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(G; X)$ a category of locally free G-modules on X.

Definition 3. Equivariant K-functor $K_n^G(X)$ define as $K_n(\mathcal{P}(G; X))$

Lemma 1. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an equivariant morphism and let M be a G-module on Y

Then f^*M has a structure of G-module on X.
Proof:
Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G\times X \arrow[r, "id_G\times f"] \arrow[d, shift right, "p_X"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\mu_X"] & G\times Y \arrow[d, shift right, "p_Y"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\mu_Y"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

We construct α as a composition of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p_X^*f^*M & (id_G\times f)^*p_Y^*M \arrow[l, "\cong"] \\
\mu_X^*f^*M \arrow[u, "\alpha"] \arrow[r, "\cong"] & (id_G\times f)^*\mu_Y^* \arrow[u, "(id_G\times f)^*\beta"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here β is a G-module structure on M. The cocylce condition for α immediately follows from the cocycle condition for β.
Corollary 1. For any equivariant $f: X\to Y$ we have exact functor

$$f^*:\mathcal{P}(G;Y)\to\mathcal{P}(G;X).$$

It induces a pullback morphism $K_n^G(Y)\to K_n^G(X)$. To simplify notation we will also denote it by f^*.

Remark 1. Let X,Y be G-varieties, f be a G-morphism, M be an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, N be an $\mathcal{O}_{G\times X}$-module, F=$id_G\times f$. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G\times G\times X \arrow[r, "id_G\times F"] \arrow[d, shift right, "m\times id_X"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "id_G\times\mu_X"] & G\times G\times Y \arrow[d, shift right, "m\times id_Y"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "id_G\times\mu_Y"] \\
G\times X \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d, "\mu_X"] & G\times Y \arrow[d, "\mu_Y"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
G\times G\times X \arrow[r, "id_G\times F"] \arrow[d, shift right, "m\times id_X"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "p_{23_X}"] & G\times G\times Y \arrow[d, shift right, "m\times id_Y"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "p_{23_Y}"] \\
G\times X \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d, "p_X"] & G\times Y \arrow[d, "p_Y"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Notation 1:
Since all vertical arrows are flat, we have natural isomorphisms ([1] Prop. 9.3):
$hh_\mu(M):\mu_Y^*R^if_*M\to R^iF_*\mu_X^*M$;
$hh_p(M):p_Y^*R^if_*M\to R^iF_*p_X^*M$
$hh_{m\times id}(N):(m\times id_Y)^*R^iF_*N\to R^i(id_G\times F)_*(m\times id_X)^*N$;
$hh_{p_{23}}(N):p_{23_Y}^*R^iF_*N\to R^i(id_G\times F)_*p_{23_X}^*N$;
$hh_{id_G\times\mu}(N):(id_G\times\mu_Y)^*R^iF_*N\to R^i(id_G\times F)_*(id_G\times\mu_X)^*N$;

Note that since $\mu_Y\circ(m\times id_Y)=\mu_Y\circ(id_G\times\mu_Y)$, two isomorphisms coincide:
$hh_{\mu,id_G\times\mu}(M):(id\times\mu_Y)^*\mu_Y^*R^if_*M\to R^i(id_G\times F)_*(id_G\times\mu_X)^*\mu_X^*M$ and
$hh_{\mu,m\times id}(M):(m\times id_Y)^*\mu_Y^*R^if_*M\to R^i(id_G\times F)_*(m\times id_X)^*\mu_X^*M$
Similarly, there is another pair of equal isomorphisms:
$hh_{p,p_{23}}(M):p_{23_Y}^*p_Y^*R^if_*M\to R^i(id_G\times F)_*p_{23_X}^*p_X^*M$ and
$hh_{p,m\times id}(M):(m\times id_Y)^*p_Y^*R^if_*M\to R^i(id_G\times F)_*(m\times id_X)^*p_X^*M$
We need the following lemma about compostion of this isomorphisms.

Lemma 2. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "T"'] & Y_3 \arrow[d, "Q"] \\
X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "t"'] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "q"] \\
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here q and Q are flat, $X_2 = X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2$, $X_3 = X_2 \times_{Y_2} Y_3$. Let M be an $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$-module. Define
$hh_1 : q^*R^if_{1*} \to R^if_{2*}t^*$
$hh_{12} : Q^*q^*R^if_{1*} \to R^if_{3*}T^*t^*$
$hh_2 : Q^*R^if_{2*} \to R^if_{3*}T^*$ to be natural isomorphisms given by Prop. 9.3 [1]. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q^*q^*R^if_{1*}M \arrow[rr, "Q^*hh_1(M)"] \arrow[dr, "hh_{12}(M)"'] & & Q^*R^if_{2*}t^*M \arrow[dl, "hh_2(t^*M)"] \\
& R^if_{3*}T^*t^*M &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof:
Since the statement is local on Y_i, we consider the case when all Y_i are affine, $Y_i = SpecA_i$. If F is R- module, we will denote by $\widetilde{F}$ the corresponding sheaf on *Spec R*. Recall the construction of hh_1. Let M be an $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$-module. Then $R^if_*(M) = H^i\widetilde{(X_1, M)}$; $q^*R^if_{1*}M = A_2 \otimes_{A_1} \widetilde{H^i}(X_1, M)$; $R^if_{2*}t^*M = H^i\widetilde{(X_2, t^*M)}$. Let U_i be an affine covering of X_1. Denote by $K = \check{C}(X_1, M)$ the corresponding Čhech complex. Since Y_1 and Y_2 are affine, $t^{-1}(U_i)$ is the affine covering of X_2. For this covering we have that $A_2 \otimes_{A_1} K$ is a Čhech complex of X_2-module t^*M. Then hh_1 is an obvious morphism

$$A_2 \otimes_{A_1} H^i(K) \to H^i(A_2 \otimes_{A_1} K)$$

which becomes an isomorphism since A_2 is flat over A_1. In similar way one can construct hh_{12} and hh_2. Then one can rewrite the diagram as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_3 \otimes_{A_2} A_2 \otimes_{A_1} H^i(K) \arrow[rr, "id\otimes hh_1"] \arrow[dr, "hh_{12}(M)"'] & & A_3 \otimes_{A_2} H^i(A_2 \otimes_{A_1} K) \arrow[dl, "hh_2(t^*M)"] \\
& H^i(A_3 \otimes_{A_1} K) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Which is trivially commutative.

Lemma 3. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an equivariant morphism and M be a G-module on X. Then for any i R^if_*M has a structure of G-module on Y.
Proof:
Let $\beta : \mu_x^*M \longrightarrow p_X^*M$ be the G-structure on M. Consider the following base-change diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G \times X \arrow[r, shift left, "\mu_X"] \arrow[r, shift right, "p_X"'] \arrow[d, "id_G \times f"] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
G \times Y \arrow[r, shift left, "\mu_Y"] \arrow[r, shift right, "p_Y"'] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since μ_Y and p_Y are flat, we use Proposition 9.3 from [1]. Sheaf isomorphisms $hh_\mu(M)$ and $hh_p(M)$ are described in Notation 1. Define α to be the unique isomorphism such that the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mu_Y^* R^i f_* M \arrow[r, "hh_\mu(M)"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"] & R^i(id \times f)_* \mu_X^* M \arrow[d, "R^i(id \times f)_* \beta"] \\
p_Y^* R^i f_* M \arrow[r, "hh_p(M)"] & R^i(id \times f)_* p_X^* M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now we have to check the cocycle condition for α :
$p_{23} * (\alpha) \circ (id_G \times \mu_Y)^*(\alpha) = (m \times id_Y)^*(\alpha)$
This means commutativity of this diagfam:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(id_G \times \mu_Y)^* \mu_Y^* R^i f_* M \arrow[r, "p_{23} * (\alpha) \circ (id_G \times \mu_Y)^*(\alpha)"] \arrow[d, equal] & p_{23}^* p_Y^* R^i f_* M \arrow[d, equal] \\
(m \times id_Y)^* \mu_Y^* R^i f_* M \arrow[r, "(m \times id_Y)^*(\alpha)"] & (m \times id_Y)^* p_Y^* R^i f_* M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $F = id_G \times f$. Subdivide this diagram into the following blocks:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(id_G \times \mu_Y)^* \mu_Y^* R^i f_* M \arrow[r, "1"'] \arrow[d, "hh_{\mu, id \times \mu}"', "\cong"] & p_{23_Y}^* p_Y^* R^i f_* M \arrow[d, "hh_{p, p_{23}}"', "\cong"] \\
R^i(id_G \times F)_* (id_G \times \mu_X)^* \mu_X^* M \arrow[r, "2"'] \arrow[d, equal] & R^i(id_G \times F)_* p_{23_X}^* p_X^* M \arrow[d, equal] \\
R^i(id_G \times F)_* (m \times id_X)^* \mu_X^* M \arrow[r, "3"'] \arrow[d, "hh_{m \times id}^{-1}(\mu_X^* M)"', "\cong"] & R^i(id_G \times F)(m \times id_X)^* p_X^* M \arrow[d, "hh_{m \times id}^{-1}(p_X^* M)"', "\cong"] \\
(m \times id_Y)^* R^i(id_G \times f)_* \mu_X^* M \arrow[r, "4"'] \arrow[d, "(m \times id_Y)^* hh_\mu^{-1}(M)"', "\cong"] & (m \times id_Y)^* R^i(id_G \times f)_* p_X^* M \arrow[d, "(m \times id_Y)^* hh_p^{-1}(M)"', "\cong"] \\
(m \times id_Y)^* \mu_Y^* R^i f_* M \arrow[r, "(m \times id_Y)^*(\alpha)"] & (m \times id_Y)^* p_Y^* R^i f_* M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Square 2 is an image of cocycle diagram for M and therefore commutative.
Square 3 arises from functor isomorphism
$R^i(id_G \times id_G \times f)_*(m \times id_X)^* \cong (m \times id_Y)^* R^i(id_G \times f)_*$ ([1], Prop. 9.3) applied to G-module structure $\beta : \mu_X^* M \to p_X^* M$ So, it commutes.
Square 4 is commutative by definition of α.

It remains to show the commutativity of square 1. Let $\widetilde{F} = id_G \times F$. Rewrite square 1 as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(id \times \mu_Y)^*\mu^* R^i f_* M \arrow[r, "1.1"'] \arrow[d, "(id\times\mu)^* hh_\mu"', "\cong"] & (id \times \mu_Y)^* p_Y^* R^i f_* M \arrow[r, equal, "1.2"'] \arrow[d, "(id_G\times\mu_Y)^* hh_p"', "\cong"] & p^*_{23_Y}\mu^*_Y R^i f_* M \arrow[r, "1.3"'] \arrow[d, "p^*_{23_Y} hh_\mu"', "\cong"] & p^*_{23_Y} p^*_Y R^i f_* M \arrow[d, "p^*_{23_Y} hh_p"', "\cong"] \\
(id \times \mu_Y)^* R^i F_* \mu^*_X M \arrow[r, "1.4"'] \arrow[d, "hh_{id\times\mu}(\mu^* M)"', "\cong"] & (id \times \mu_Y) R^i F_* p^*_X M \arrow[d, "hh_{id\times\mu}(p^*_X M)"', "\cong"] & p^*_{23_Y} R^i F_* \mu^*_X M \arrow[r, "1.5"'] \arrow[d, "hh_{p_{23}}(\mu^*_X M)"', "\cong"] & p^*_{23_Y} R^i F_* p^*_X M \arrow[d, "hh_{p_{23}}(p^*_X M)"', "\cong"] \\
R^i \widetilde{F}_*(id \times \mu_X)^* \mu^*_X M \arrow[r] & R^i \widetilde{F}_*(id \times \mu_X)^* p^*_X M \arrow[r, equal] & R^i \widetilde{F}_* p^*_{23_X} \mu^*_X M \arrow[r] & R^i \widetilde{F}_* p_{23_X} p^*_X M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Square 1.1 is an image of functor $(id_G \times \mu_Y)^*$ applied to the diagram that defines α. Thus it is commutative. Commutativity of 1.2 follows from Lemma 2 and Prop. 9.3 [1] applied to the base-change diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G \times G \times X \arrow[r, "id_G\times id_G\times f"] \arrow[d, "p_Y\circ(id\times\mu_Y)=\mu_Y\circ p_{23_Y}"'] & G \times G \times Y \arrow[d, "p_Y\circ(id\times\mu_X)=\mu_X\circ p_{23_X}"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Square 1.3 is an image of functor $p^*_{23_Y}$ applied to the diagram defining α and therefore commutes.

Prop. 9.3 [1] gives us an isomorphsm of functors $(id_G \times \mu_Y)^* R^i F_* \cong R^i \widetilde{F}(id_G \times \mu_X)^*$. Applying this isomorphism to $\beta : \mu^*_X M \to p^*_X M$ we get commutativity of the square 1.4.

In a similar way we get commutativity of the square 1.5.

So commutativity of 1-4 is proved. According to Lemma 2 the composition of vertical arrows is the identity. So α satisfies the cocycle condition.

Corollary 2. If f is projective we can define the pushforward map $f_* : K_0^G(X) \to K_0^G(Y)$ by sending M to the alternating sum of $R^i f_*(M)$.

To describe the pushforward for higher K-functors we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 4. (Equivariant version of Proposition 9.3[1].) Consider the base change diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d, "Q"'] & B \arrow[d, "q"'] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where X, Y, A, B are G-varieties; f, F, Q, q are G-morphisms; f is flat.

Let M be a G-module on B. Then there is a natural G-module isomorphism on X :

$$f^* R^i q_* M \to R^i Q_* F^* M.$$

Proof:

By Propostion 9.3 from [1] we have a natural isomorphsim of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $hh_{X,Y,A,B} : f^* R^i q_* M \to R^i Q_* F^* M$. We need to check that $hh_{X,Y,A,B}$ is a G-morphism. That means commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mu^*_X f^* R^i q_* M \arrow[r, "G-structure"'] \arrow[d, "\mu^*_X hh_{X,Y,A,B}"] & p^*_X f^* R^i q_* M \arrow[d, "p^*_X hh_{X,Y,A,B}"] \\
\mu^*_X R^i Q_* F^* M \arrow[r, "G-structure"'] & p^*_X R^i Q_* F^* M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G\times A \arrow[rr, "id\times F"] \arrow[dr, shift left, "p_A"] \arrow[dr, shift right, "\mu_A"'] \arrow[dd, "id\times Q"'] & & G\times B \arrow[dr, shift left, "p_B"] \arrow[dr, shift right, "\mu_B"'] \arrow[dd, "id\times q"' near start] & \\
& A \arrow[rr, "F"' near start] \arrow[dd, "Q" near start] & & B \arrow[dd, "q"] \\
G\times X \arrow[rr, "id\times f"' near end] \arrow[dr, shift left, "p_X"] \arrow[dr, shift right, "\mu_X"'] & & G\times Y \arrow[dr, shift left, "p_Y"] \arrow[dr, shift right, "\mu_Y"'] & \\
& X \arrow[rr, "f"] & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

For any square in this cube denote by hh (with corresponding subscript) the isomorphism arising from prop. 9.3[1], applied to this square. We rewrite the G-structure diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mu_X^* f^* R^i q_* M \arrow[r, "1"'] \arrow[d, equal] & p_X^* f^* R^i q_* M \arrow[d, equal] \\
(id\times f)^* \mu_Y^* R^i q_* M \arrow[r, "2"'] \arrow[d, "(id\times f)^* hh^{\mu}_{G\times Y,Y,G\times B,B}(M)"'] & (id\times f)^* p_Y^* R^i q_* M \arrow[d, "(id\times f)^* hh^{p}_{G\times Y,Y,G\times B,B}(M)"'] \\
(id\times f)^* R^i (id\times q)_* \mu_B^* M \arrow[r, "3"'] \arrow[d, "hh_{G\times X,G\times Y,G\times A,G\times B}(\mu_B^* M)"'] & (id\times f)^* R^i (id\times q)_* p_B^* M \arrow[d, "hh_{G\times X,G\times Y,G\times A,G\times B}(p_B^* M)"'] \\
R^i (id\times Q)_* (id\times F)^* \mu_B^* M \arrow[r, "4"'] \arrow[d, equal] & R^i (id\times Q)_* (id\times F)^* p_B^* M \arrow[d, equal] \\
R^i (id\times Q)_* \mu_A^* F^* M \arrow[r, "5"'] \arrow[d, "hh^{\mu}_{G\times X,X,G\times A,A}"'] & R^i (id\times Q)_* p_A^* F^* M \arrow[d, "hh^{p}_{G\times X,X,G\times A,A}"'] \\
\mu_X^* R^i Q_* F^* M \arrow[r] & p_X^* R^i Q_* F^* M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Square 1 is commutative because of the definition of the G-structure on pullback.
Square 2 is an $(id \times f)^*$ image of the G-structure diagram for $R^i q_* M$. Thus it commutes.
Square 3 arises from the functor isomorphism $(id\times f)^* R^i (id\times q)_* \to R^i (id\times Q)_* (id\times F)^*$ applied to the G-structure isomorphism $\mu_B^* M \to p_B^* M$. So it commutes.
Square 4 is commutative because of the definition of the G-structure on pullback.
Square 5 is commutative by the definition of the G-structure on $R^i Q_* F^* M$.
By lemma 2 compositions of vertical arrows are equal to $\mu_X^* hh_{X,Y,A,B}$ and $p_X^* hh_{X,Y,A,B}$.
This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. Let X, Y be smooth G-varieties, G - a smooth reductive affine algebraic group and $\pi : X \times Y \to Y$ a projection. Moreover let X be projective and Y be connected

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_\pi(G; X \times Y)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(G; X \times Y)$ consisting of locally free G-modules P such that $R^k\pi_*P = 0$ for $k > 0$.
Then any G- module M possesses a finite length resolution of the form
$M \to P^0 \to P^1 \to \ldots \to P^N \to 0$ with $P^i \in OB(\mathcal{P}_\pi(G; X \times Y))$
Proof:
First we prove that for every M there is an embedding $M \hookrightarrow P^0$. We will construct P^0 in the form of $M(n)$ for a large enough n. To do this, we construct a very ample G-equivariant sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and an G-equivariant embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = i^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Let L be a very ample line bundle. By corollary 1.6 of [5] $L^{\otimes k}$ is G-equivariant for some k. Then it defines the action of G on $V = \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes k})$ and equivariant morphism $i : X \to \mathbb{P}(V)$ which is an embedding since $L^{\otimes k}$ is very ample. Then we set $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = L^{\otimes k}$.
The standard embedding of the tautological bundle $\tau_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \hookrightarrow V \times \mathbb{P}(V)$ gives us a G-equivariant embedding of locally free sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}$. After twisting by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$. Inductively we have the G-equivariant embedding $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(n) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(n)$. Applying i^* we get

$$\mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(n).$$

Define $\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}(1) = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Applying π^* we get an equivariant embedding

$$M \hookrightarrow M(n) \oplus \ldots \oplus M(n).$$

for an arbitrary locally free G-module M. Clearely it's cokernel is G-equivariant. It's easy to check that it is a locally free sheaf. Then for every locally free G-module there is a resolution consisisting of direct sums of modules of the form $M(n)$
Let us show that $M(n)$ lies in $\mathcal{P}_\pi(G; X \times Y)$ for a large enough n. $R^k\pi_*M(n)$ is associated to a presheaf $V \mapsto H^k(X \times V, M(n))$. Consider a finite affine covering V_i of Y. By Serre's theorem $H^k(X \times V_i, M(n))$ equals zero for $n > n_i$. Thus $R^k\pi_*M(n) = 0$ for $n > n_M = max\{n_i\}$.

It remains to show that this resolution ends at some finite step. Let $N = dim X \times Y$. Let C^0 be a cokernel or the first resolution step: $0 \to M \to P^0 \to C^0 \to 0$. Then we have the exact sequence

$$0 = R^N\pi_*P^0 \to R^N\pi_*C^0 \to R^{N+1}\pi_*M = 0.$$

So, $R^N\pi_*C^0 = 0$. For the second cokernel C^1 we have the exact sequence $0 \to C^0 \to P^1 \to C^1 \to 0$. Then

$$0 = R^{N-1}\pi_*P^1 \to R^{N-1}\pi_*C^1 \to R^N\pi_*C^0 = 0.$$

So, $R^{N-1}\pi_*C^{N-1} = 0$. By induction we have all $R^k\pi_*C^N = 0$. Then $C^N \in Ob(\mathcal{P}_\pi(G; X \times Y))$.

Corollary 3. Let f be a G-equivariant projective $f : X \to Y$. That means, there is a G-equivariant decomposition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Y \times \mathbb{P}^n \arrow[d, "\pi_Y"] \\
X \arrow[ur, hook, "i"] \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here i is a closed embedding and π_Y a projection. Since all $R^k i_* M = 0$ for any G-module M, $k > 0$, we have two exact functors $i_*\mathcal{P}(G; X) \to \mathcal{P}(G; Y \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ and

$\pi_{Y*} : \mathcal{P}_{\pi_Y}(G; Y \times \mathbb{P}^n) \to \mathcal{P}(G; Y)$ By Quillen's theorem, the inclusion $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_Y}(G; Y \times \mathbb{P}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G; Y \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ induces an isomorphism

$$K_n(\mathcal{P}_{\pi_Y}(G; Y \times \mathbb{P}^n)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K_n(\mathcal{P}(G; Y \times \mathbb{P}^n)) = K_n^G(Y \times \mathbb{P}^n)$$

Then we can describe the pushforward map $f_* : K_n^G(X) \to K_n^G(Y)$ as the following compostion:

$$K_n^G(X) \xrightarrow{K_n(i_*)} K_n^G(Y \times \mathbb{P}^n) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}} K_n(\mathcal{P}_{\pi_Y}(G; Y \times \mathbb{P}^n)) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{P}(G; Y)) = K_n^G(Y)$$

Lemma 6. Under the notation of Lemma 5, we have a commutative up to an isomorphism diagram of exact functors.

(2.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G; EG_j \times G/B) \arrow[d, swap, "\pi_{EG_j *}"] & \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_{j+1}}}(G; EG_{j+1} \times G/B) \arrow[l, "(i_j \times id)^*"] \arrow[d, swap, "\pi_{EG_{j+1*}}"] \\
\mathcal{P}(G; EG_j) & \mathcal{P}(G; EG_{j+1}) \arrow[l, "i_j^*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof:
To simplfy notation let $\pi_j = \pi_{EG_j}$ and $\mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G; EG_j \times G/B)$ Let us prove that $\mathcal{P}_{j+1}$ is mapped to $\mathcal{P}_j$ under $(i_j \times id)^*$ Let $M \in Ob(\mathcal{P}_{j+1})$. Let $dim(EG_j \times G/B) = N$. Then $R^{N+1}\pi_{j*}(i_j \times id)^* M = 0$. By corollary 2§5 of [4]

$$R^N \pi_{j*}(i_j \times id)^* M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{EG_j}} k(y) = H^N(EG_j \times \{y\}, (i_j \times id)^* M) = H^N(EG_j \times \{y\}, M) = 0$$

Then $R^N \pi_{j*}(i_j \times id)^* M = 0$. By induction we obtain that all $R^k \pi_{j*} i_j^* M = 0$ for $k > 0$. Then $i_j^* M \in Ob(\mathcal{P})$. Now we prove the commutativity of the diagram 2.1 up to a natural isomorphism. By remark 9.3.1 of [1] we have a natural morphism $hh : i_j^* \pi_{j+1*} M \to \pi_{j*}(i_j \times id)^* M$. One can easily see that for any $y \in EG_j$ the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_{j*}(i_j \times id)^* M \otimes k(y) \arrow[d, swap, "(1)"] & i_j^* \pi_{j+1*} M \otimes k(y) \arrow[l, "hh \otimes k(y)"] \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Gamma(y \times G/B, (i_j \times id)^* M) \arrow[d, equal] & \pi_{j+1*} M \otimes k(y) \arrow[d, swap, "(2)"] \\
\Gamma(y \times G/B, M) \arrow[r, equal] & \Gamma(y \times G/B, M)
\end{tikzcd} .$$

Here the arrows (1) and (2) are natural isomorphisms given by corollary 2 §5 of [4]. So, $hh \otimes k(y)$ is an isomorphism for any point y of EG_j. Therefore hh is a natural isomorphism. So, the diagram (2.1) is commutative.

Lemma 7. Under the notation of Lemma 5, for each $j \geqslant 0$ the functor

$$\pi_j^* : \mathcal{P}(G; EG_j) \to \mathcal{P}(G; EG_j \times G/B)$$

takes values in the subcategory $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G; EG_j \times G/B)$. As a consequence the following diagram of exact functors commutes up to a natural isomorphism.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{P}(G; EG_j) \arrow[d, "\pi_j^*"'] & & \mathcal{P}(G; EG_{j+1}) \arrow[ll, "i_j^*"'] \arrow[d, "\pi_{j+1}^*"'] \\ \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G; EG_j \times G/B) & & \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_{j+1}}}(G; EG_{j+1} \times G/B) \arrow[ll, "(i_j \times id)^*"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2}$$

Proof:
To simplfy notation let $\pi_j = \pi_{EG_j}$ and $\mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G; EG_j \times G/B)$ First we prove that π_j^* maps $\mathcal{P}(G; EG_j)$ to $\mathcal{P}_j$. Let M be an object of $\mathcal{P}(G; EG_j)$. Then $R^k\pi_{j*}\pi_j^*M$ is associated to the presheaf $V \mapsto H^k(V \times G/B, \pi_j^*M)$. Let V be an affine open subset of EG_j. Let $\{U_n\}$ be an affine covering of G/B. For any intersection $W = U_{n_1} \cap \ldots \cap U_{n_k}$. we have

$$\pi_j^*M(V \times W) = M(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{EG_j}(V)} \mathcal{O}_{EG_j \times G/B}(V \times W) = M(V) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{G/B}(W).$$

Then Čhech complex $\check{C}(\{V \times U_n\}, \pi_j^*M)$ equals $M(V) \otimes_k \check{C}(\{U_n\}, \mathcal{O}_{G/B})$. Consequently, $H^k(V \times G/B, \pi_j^*M) = M(V) \otimes_k H^k(G/B, \mathcal{O}_{G/B})$.
By proposition 4.5 from [7] $H^k(G/B, \mathcal{O}_{G/B}) = 0$ for $k > 0$. Then $\pi_{j*}M \in Ob(\mathcal{P}_j)$.
The commutativity of (2.2) trivally follows from the equality $\pi_{j+1} \circ (i_j \times id) = i_j \circ \pi_j$.

Lemma 8. Composition $\pi_{EG_j*} \circ \pi_{EG_j}^*$ is naturally isomorphic to $id_{\mathcal{P}(G;EG_j)}$:

$$\mathcal{P}(G; EG_j) \xrightarrow{\pi_{EG_j}^*} \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(EG_j \times G/B) \xrightarrow{\pi_{EG_j*}} \mathcal{P}(G; EG_j)$$

Proof:
Let $M \in Ob(\mathcal{P}(G; EG_j))$ The sheaf $\pi_{EG_j*}\pi_{EG_j}^*M$ is associated to presheaf $V \mapsto \pi_{EG_j}^*(M)(V \times G/B)$. Since $\pi_{EG_j}^*M$ is a sheaf associated to $W \mapsto M(\pi_{EG_j}(W))$ we see that $\pi_{EG_j*}\pi_{EG_j}^*M$ is associated to the presheaf $V \mapsto M(V)$. So, in category of presheaves $\pi_{EG_j*}\pi_{EG_j}^* \cong id$. Applying the sheaffication functor to this isomorphism, we get a natural isomorphism $\pi_{EG_j*}\pi_{EG_j}^*M \cong M$.
The same reasoning proofs

Lemma 9. Composition $\pi_{pt*} \circ \pi_{pt}^*$ is naturally isomorphic to $id_{\mathcal{P}(G;pt)}$:

$$\mathcal{P}(G; pt) \xrightarrow{\pi_{pt}^*} \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G/B) \xrightarrow{\pi_{pt*}} \mathcal{P}(G; pt)$$

Lemma 10. Under the notation of Lemma 5, we have a commutative up to an isomorphism diagram of exact functors.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G; G/B) \arrow[r, "\pi_{G/B}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt*}"'] & \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G; EG_j \times G/B) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_j*}"'] \\ \mathcal{P}(G; pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] & \mathcal{P}(G; EG_j) \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.3}$$

Proof:
Let us prove that $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G; G/B)$ is mapped to $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G; EG_j \times G/B)$ under $\pi_{G/B}^*$. Let $M \in Ob(\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G; G/B))$. Then by prop 9.3[1] $R^k\pi_{EG_j}(\pi_{G/B}^*M)$ is isomorphic to

$\pi_{pt}^*(R^k\pi_{pt*}M)$. The latter sheaf is zero by definition of $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G;G/B)$ for $k>0$. So, for $k>0$ we have $R^k\pi_{EG_j}(\pi_{G/B}^*M)=0$ then $\pi_{G/B}^*M\in Ob(\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G;EG_j\times G/B))$.

Commutativity of the diagram 2.3 follows immediately from lemma 4.

Lemma 11. Under the notation of Lemma 5, functor

$$\pi_{pt}^*:\mathcal{P}(G;pt)\to\mathcal{P}(G;G/B)$$

takes values in the subcategory $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G;G/B)$. As a consequence the following diagram of exact functors commutes up to a natural isomorphism.

(2.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}(G;pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt}^*"'] & \mathcal{P}(G;EG_j) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_j}^*"] \\
\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G;G/B) \arrow[r, "\pi_{G/B}^*"] & \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G;EG_j\times G/B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof:
We prove that π_{pt}^* maps $\mathcal{P}(G;pt)$ to $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}^*}(G;G/B)$. Let M be an object of $\mathcal{P}(G;pt)$. Then $R^k\pi_{pt*}\pi_{pt}^*M$ is a vector space $H^k(G/B,\pi_{pt}^*M)$. Let $\{U_n\}$ be an affine covering of G/B. For any intersection $W=U_{n_1}\cap\ldots\cap U_{n_k}$. we have

$$\pi_{pt}^*M(W)=M\otimes_k\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(W).$$

Then Čhech complex $\check{C}(\{U_n\},\pi_{pt}^*M)$ equals $M\otimes_k\check{C}(\{U_n\},\mathcal{O}_{G/B})$. Consequently, $H^k(G/B,\pi_j^*M)=M\otimes_k H^k(G/B,\mathcal{O}_{G/B})$.
By proposition 4.5 from [7] $H^k(G/B,\mathcal{O}_{G/B})=0$ for $k>0$. Then $\pi_{pt*}M\in Ob(\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G;G/B))$. The commutativity of (2.4) trivally follows from the equality $\pi_{pt}\circ\pi_{EG_j}=\pi_{pt}\circ\pi_{G/B}$.

Remark 1 As we can see from proofs of lemmas 6-11, we can replace G/B by any projective G-variety X such that $h^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X)=1$ and $h^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X)=0$. for $i>0$.

Proposition 1. *There is a commutative diagram with* $\pi_{EG_i*}\circ\pi_{EG_i}^*=id_{K_n^G(EG_i)}$, $\pi_{pt*}\pi_{pt}^*=id_{K_n^G(pt)}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_n^G(pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt}^*"'] & K_n^G(EG_i) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_i}^*"] \\
K_n^G(G/B) \arrow[r, "\pi_{G/B}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt}*"'] & K_n^G(EG_i\times G/B) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_i*}"] \\
K_n^G pt \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] & K_n^G(EG_i)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof:
By lemmas 10 and 11 we get the following commutative categories diagram with

exact arrows

(2.5)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}(G;pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt}^*"'] & \mathcal{P}(G;EG_j) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_j}^*"'] \\
\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G;G/B) \arrow[r, "\pi_{G/B}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt*}"'] & \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(EG_j \times G/B) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_j*}"'] \\
\mathcal{P}(G;pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] & \mathcal{P}(G;EG_j)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Recall that, by Quillen's theorem and lemma 5, categories inclusion $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G;G/B) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G;G/B)$ induces an isomorphism $K_n(\mathcal{P}(G;G/B)) \to K_n(\mathcal{P}_{\pi_{pt}}(G;G/B))$. Then, applying K_n to diagram (2.5) gives us

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_n^G(pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt}^*"'] & K_n^G(EG_i) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_i}^*"'] \\
K_n^G(G/B) \arrow[r, "\pi_{G/B}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt*}"'] & K_n^G(EG_i \times G/B) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_i*}"'] \\
K_n^G pt \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] & K_n^G(EG_i)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Equalities $\pi_{EG_i*} \circ \pi_{EG_i}^* = id_{K_n^G(EG_i)}$ and $\pi_{pt*}\pi_{pt}^* = id_{K_n^G(pt)}$ immediately follow from lemma 8 and 9.

Remark 2 In particular, we get a well-known fact that the natural ring map $R(G) \to R(B)$ is injective.

Remark 3 By remark 1, we can replace G/B in statement of proposition 1 by any projective G-variety X such that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ and $h^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. for $i > 0$.

Proposition 2. *The I_B-adic topology of $R(B)$ coincides with the $I_G \cdot R(B)$-adic topology.*

Proof:

Let T be a maximal torus in G. Then $R(B) = R(T)$ and $I_B = I_T$, where I_T is the ideal of zero-dimensional representations of T. We will prove that $\sqrt{I_G \cdot R(T)} = I_T$. Denote by $W = N_G(T)/T$ the Weil group of G. The group W acts by conjugation on $R(T)$. It is known that W is a finite group and $R(G)$ is the ring of invariants of W: $R(G) = R(T)^W$. We prove the following statement:

If q is a prime ideal of $R(T)$ and $q \cap R(G) \supseteq I_G$. Then $q \supseteq I_T$.

Let $x \in I_T$. Let $n = |W|$ and $W = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$. For any symmetric polynomial f we have that $f(x^{\sigma_1} \dots x^{\sigma_n})$ is invariant under W-action. Then $f(x^{\sigma_1} \dots x^{\sigma_n}) \in R(G) \cap I_T = I_G \subseteq R(G) \cap q$. Then $f(x^{\sigma_1} \dots x^{\sigma_n}) \in q$. Denote by $f_1 \dots f_n$ the elementary symmetric polinomials. It is easy to see that x is a root of polynomial

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}(t - x^{\sigma_i}) = t^n - f_1(x^{\sigma_1} \dots x^{\sigma_n})t^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n f_n(x^{\sigma_1} \dots x^{\sigma_n}).$$

So we have $x^n - f_1(x^{\sigma_1}\dots x^{\sigma_n})x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n f_n(x^{\sigma_1}\dots x^{\sigma_n}) = 0$.
Then $x^n = -(-f_1(x^{\sigma_1}\dots x^{\sigma_n})x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n f_n(x^{\sigma_1}\dots x^{\sigma_n})) \in q$. So $x^n \in q$. Since q is prime, $x \in q$. This ends the proof of the statement.
Consider $A = \{p \mid p - prime, p \supseteq I_G \cdot R(T)\}$ Our statement implies that I_T is a minimal element of A. So,

$$\sqrt{I_G \cdot R(T)} = \bigcap_{p\in A} = I_T.$$

Since $R(B) = R(T)$ and $I_B = I_T$, we get $\sqrt{I_G \cdot R(B)} = I_B$. Since $R(B)$ is noetherian, it implies that $I_B^m \subseteq I_G \cdot R(B)$ for some m. Then I_B and $I_G \cdot R(B)$ determine the same topology on $R(B)$.

Proposition 3. $K_n(BG) = \varprojlim K_n(BG_i)$
Proof:
By [6] we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \varprojlim{}^1 K_{n+1}(BG_i) \to K_n(BG) \to \varprojlim K_n(BG_i) \to 0$$

Let us show that $\varprojlim{}^1 K_n(BG_i) = 0$. for any $n > 0$.
We prove that the sequence $K_n(BG_i)$ is a direct summand of the sequence $K_n(BB_i)$. By proposition 1 of [2] We have $K_n(BG_i) = K_n^G(EG_i)$ Since we can choose EG_i as a model for EB_i, we obtain $K_n(BB_i) = K_n^B(EB_i) = K_n^B(EG_i) = K_n^G(EG_i \times G/B)$. So, in fact, we prove that the sequence $K_n^G(EG_i)$ is a direct summand of the sequence $K_n^G(EG_i \times G/B)$.
To simplify the notation denote $\mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_{\pi_{EG_j}}(G; EG_j \times G/B)$. By lemmas 6 and 7 we obtain a commutative diagram with exact arrows:

(2.6)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}(G;EG_j) \arrow[d, swap, "\pi_j^*"] & \mathcal{P}(G;EG_{j+1}) \arrow[l, "(i_j\times id)^*"] \arrow[d, swap, "\pi^*_{j+1}{*}"] \\
\mathcal{P}_j \arrow[d, swap, "\pi_{j*}"] & \mathcal{P}_{j+1} \arrow[l, "(i_j\times id)^*"] \arrow[d, swap, "\pi_{j*}"] \\
\mathcal{P}(G;EG_j) & \mathcal{P}(G;EG_{j+1}) \arrow[l, "i_j^*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

By lemma 8 the composition

$$\mathcal{P}(G;EG_j) \xrightarrow{\pi_j^*} \mathcal{P}_j \xrightarrow{\pi_{j*}} \mathcal{P}(G;EG_j)$$

is naturally isomorphic to $id_{\mathcal{P}(G;EG_j)}$. In the proof of Lemma 6 it is checked that $(i_j \times id)^*(\mathcal{P}_{j+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_j$ By Lemma 5, each G-module in $\mathcal{P}(G; EG_j \times G/B)$ has a finite resolution consisting of sheaves from $\mathcal{P}_j$. Then by the Quillen's theorem we get the isomorphisms α_j such that the following diagram of groups commutes:

(2.7)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_n(\mathcal{P}_j) \arrow[d, swap, "\alpha_j"] & K_n(\mathcal{P}_{j+1}) \arrow[l, "(i_j\times id)^*"] \arrow[d, swap, "\alpha_{j+1}"] \\
K_n^G(EG_j \times G/B) & K_n^G(EG_{j+1} \times G/B) \arrow[l, "(i_j\times id)^*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

In Corollary 3 we defined $\pi_{j*} : K_n^G(EG_j \times G/B) \to K_1^G(EG_j)$ as the composition of

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_1^G(EG_j \times G/B) \arrow[r, "\alpha_j^{-1}"] & K_1(\mathcal{P}_j) \arrow[r, "\pi_{j*}"] & K_1^G(EG_j) \end{tikzcd}$$

Commutativity of the diagrams (2.6) and (2.7) gives us a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_n^G(EG_j) \arrow[d, "\pi_j^*"'] & K_n^G(EG_{j+1}) \arrow[l, "(i_j \times id)^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{j+1}^*{}^*"'] \\ K_n^G(EG_j \times G/B) \arrow[d, "\Pi_{j*}"'] & K_n^G(EG_{j+1} \times G/B) \arrow[l, "(i_j \times id)^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{j+1*}"'] \\ K_n^G(EG_j) & K_n^G(EG_{j+1}) \arrow[l, "i_j^*"] \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.8}$$

As we have shown, compositions of vertical arrows are identity, so $K_n^G(EG_j)$ is a direct summand of sequence $K_n^G(EG_i \times G/B) = K_n(BB_j)$. Since $\varprojlim^1(K_n(BB_j)) = 0$ we get $\varprojlim^1(K_n^G(EG_j)) = 0$. It remains us to show that $\varprojlim^1(K_n(BB_j)) = 0$. Let T be a maximal torus. Since B/T is affine space, we have that $BT_j \to BB_j$ is locally trivial with strats being affine spaces. Then pullback map $K_n(BB_j) \to K_n(BT_j)$ is a natural isomorphism. Since G is split, T is a split torus, $T = \mathbb{G}_m \times \ldots \times \mathbb{G}_m$. Then $BT_j = \mathbb{P}^j \times \ldots \times \mathbb{P}^j$. So, $K_n(BT_j) = K_n(pt)[t_1 \ldots t_n]/(t_1^{j+1}, \ldots t_n^{j+1})$. Embedding pullbacks act as follows:

$$t_k \text{ mod } (t_1^{j+1}, \ldots t_n^{j+1}) \mapsto t_k \text{ mod } (t_1^j, \ldots t_n^j)$$

Then all morphisms in the sequence $\ldots \to K_n(BT_j) \to K_n(BT_{j-1}) \to \ldots$ are surjective. Then $\varprojlim^1(K_n(BT_i)) = 0$, and consequently, $\varprojlim^1(K_n(BB_i)) = 0$. This concludes the proof.

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2. The Borel construction induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widehat{K_n^B(pt)}_{I_B} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^B}"] & \widehat{K_n(BB)}_{I_B} & K_n(BB) \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof:

We define $Borel_n^B : K_n^B(pt) \to K_n(BB)$ in the following way: For any j we construct $(Borel_n^B)_j : K_n^B(pt) \to K_n^B(EB_j)$ as a pullback of a projection $\pi_{pt} : EB_j \to pt$. By proposition 1[2] $K_n^B(EB_j)$ are isomorphic to $K_n(BB_j)$. So we get $(Borel_n^B)_j : K_n^B(pt) \to K_n(BB_j)$ By propostion 3, we obtain $Borel_n^B = \varprojlim(Borel_n^B)_j : K_n^B(pt) \to K_n(BB)$.

Let T be a maximal torus of G. By Corollary 1 of [2] exact functor $\mathcal{P}(T; pt) \to \mathcal{P}(B; B/T)$ induces an isomorphism $K_n^T(pt) \cong K_n^B(B/T)$. Since B/T is affine space, we have by theorem 3 of [2] that the pullback morphism $K_n^B(pt) \to K_n^B(B/T)$ is an isomorphism. Recall that $BT_j \to BB_j$ is locally trivial with strats being affine spaces. Then by theorem 3 of [2] pullback map $K_n(BB_j) \to K_n(BT_j)$ is an isomorphism. So we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_n^B(pt) \arrow[r, "Borel_n^B"] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & K_n(BB) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
K_n^B(B/T) \arrow[r, "\pi^*_{B/T}"] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & K_n(BB \times B/T) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
K_n^T(pt) \arrow[r, "Borel_n^T"] & K_n(BT)
\end{tikzcd}$$

So, it suffices to prove our theorem for maximal torus T. Since G is split,
$T = \mathbb{G}_m \times \ldots \times \mathbb{G}_m$ (j times). Let us compute $K_n^T(pt)$ and $K_n^T(pt)_{I_T}$.
It is known that $K_n^T(pt) = K_n(pt) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R(T)$.

$$R(T) = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_j, t]/(\lambda_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_j \cdot t = 1).$$

$I_T = (1-\lambda_1, \ldots, 1-\lambda_j, 1-t)$. So, we have:

$$\widehat{K_n^T(pt)_{I_T}} = \widehat{R(T)}_{I_T} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_n(pt)$$

$$\widehat{R(T)}_{I_T} = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_j, t]/((\Pi\lambda_i \cdot t - 1), (1-\lambda_1)^k, \ldots, (1-\lambda_j)^k, (1-t)^k) =$$

$$= \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}[1-\lambda_1, \ldots, 1-\lambda_j, 1-t]/((\Pi\lambda_i \cdot t - 1), (1-\lambda_1)^k, \ldots, (1-\lambda_j)^k, (1-t)^k) =$$

$$= \mathbb{Z}[[1-\lambda_1, \ldots, 1-\lambda_j, 1-t]]/(\Pi\lambda_i \cdot t - 1) = \mathbb{Z}[[\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l, 1-t]]/(\Pi(1-\mu_i) \cdot t - 1)$$

Since $\frac{1}{1-\mu_i} = 1 + \mu_i + \mu_i^2 + \mu_i^3 + \ldots$ it follows that $t = \prod(1 + \mu_i + \mu_i^2 + \ldots)$ Therefore we have $1 - t = 1 - (1 + \mu_1 + \ldots + \mu_j + \ldots) = -(\mu_1 + \ldots + \mu_j + \ldots)$. Then

$$\widehat{R(T)}_{I_T} = \mathbb{Z}[[\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_j]].$$

Finally, we get

$$\widehat{K_n^T(pt)_{I_T}} = K_n(pt)[[\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_j]]$$

Let us compute $K_n(BT)$.
We can choose by ET the space $\mathbb{A}^\infty \backslash \{0\} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{A}^\infty \backslash \{0\}$ This is contractible space with free $T-$action. Then $ET_k = \mathbb{A}^{k+1}\backslash\{0\} \times \ldots \mathbb{A}^{k+1}\backslash\{0\}$ and $BT_k = \mathbb{P}^k \times \ldots \times \mathbb{P}^k$.
Then $K_n(BT_k) = K_n(pt)[x_1 \ldots x_n]/(x_1^k, \ldots, x_n^k)$.
So we have $BT = \mathbb{P}^\infty \times \ldots \times \mathbb{P}^\infty$, And finally we get

$$K_n(BT) = \varprojlim K_n(BT_k) = K_n(pt)[[x_1 \ldots x_n]].$$

Borel construction $K_n^T(pt) \to K_n(BT_k)$ works as follows:
$\lambda_i \mapsto 1 - x_i$
$t \mapsto \frac{1}{(1-x_1)\ldots(1-x_n)} = (1 + x_1 + \ldots + x_1^{k-1}) \ldots (1 + x_1 + \ldots + x_1^{k-1})$

Then on $\widehat{K_n^T(pt)}_{I_T}$. Borel construction induces an isomorphism $\mu_i \mapsto x_i$. Let us prove that $K_n(BT)$ is complete in the I_T-adic topology. $R(T)$-module structure on $K_n(BT)$ arises from $R(T)$-structure on $K_0(BT) = \mathbb{Z}[[x_1 \ldots x_n]]$. Then $I_T \cdot K_n(BT) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. So, $K_n(BT)$ is complete. This completes the proof of theorem 2.

Theorem 3. There is a commutative diagram of the form:

(3.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p^*}"'] & K_n(BG) \arrow[l, "completion_G"'] \arrow[d, "p^*"'] \\
\widehat{K_n^B(pt)}_{I_B} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^B}"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & \widehat{K_n(BB)}_{I_B} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p_*}"'] & K_n(BB) \arrow[l, "completion_B"'] \arrow[d, "p_*"'] \\
\widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} & K_n(BG) \arrow[l, "completion_G"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $\beta \circ \alpha = id$, $\widehat{p_*} \circ \widehat{p^*} = id$, and $p_* \circ p^* = id$.

Proof:
Since $EG_i \to BG_i$ is a G-torsor, $K_n(BG_i) = K_n^G(EG_i)$. (by Proposition 1 of[2]) EG can be chosen as a model for the contractible space EB Proposition 1 of [2] allows us express all these objects in terms of G-equivariant K-theory: $K_n^B(pt) \cong K_n^G(G/B)$ $K_n^B(EG_j) = K_n^G(EG_j \times G/B)$

So, first we construct :

(3.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_n^G(pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt}^*"'] & K_n^G(EG_i) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_i}^*"'] \\
K_n^G(G/B) \arrow[r, "\pi_{G/B}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt*}"'] & K_n^G(EG_i \times G/B) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_i*}"'] \\
K_n^G(pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] & K_n^G(EG_i)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 1 proves that this diagram commutes and $\pi_{pt*} \circ \pi_{pt}^* = id$ and $\pi_{EG_i*} \circ \pi_{EG_i}^* = id$. Recall that $K_n^G(EG_j) = K_n(BG_j)$, $K_n^G(EG_j \times G/B) = K_n(BB_j)$, and $K_n^G(G/B) = K_n^B(pt)$.
Therefore we can rewrite the above diagram as follows

(3.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_n^G(pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt}^*"'] & K_n(BG_i) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_i}^*"'] \\
K_n^B(pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{G/B}^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt*}"'] & K_n(BB_i) \arrow[d, "\pi_{EG_i*}"'] \\
K_n^G(pt) \arrow[r, "\pi_{pt}^*"] & K_n(BG_i)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Take the projective limit of this diagram. Recall that $K_n(BB_i) = K_n(BB)$ and by proposition 3 we have $\varprojlim K_n(BG_i) = K_n(BG)$. So we get commutative diagram of $K_0^G(pt)$-modules

(3.4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_n^G(pt) \arrow[r, "Borel_n^G"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt}^*"'] & K_n(BG) \arrow[d, "\varprojlim \pi^*_{EG_i}"] \\
K_n^B(pt) \arrow[r, "Borel_n^B"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{pt*}"'] & K_n(BB) \arrow[d, "\varprojlim \pi_{EG_i*}"] \\
K_n^G(pt) \arrow[r, "Borel_n^G"] & K_n(BG)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here we still have $\pi_{pt*} \circ \pi_{pt}^* = id$ and $\varprojlim \pi_{EG_i*} \circ \varprojlim \pi^*_{EG_i} = id$. Let us denote $p_* = \varprojlim \pi_{EG_i*}$ and $p^* = \varprojlim \pi^*_{EG_i}$. Recall that $R(G)$-structures on $K_n(BB)$ and $K_n^B(pt)$ are induced by $R(G)$-structure on $R(B)$. Then proposition 2 implies that I_G-adic completions of $K_n(BB)$ and $K_n^B(pt)$ coincides with I_B-adic completions. So, by taking I_G-adic completion of(3.4) we obtain commutative diagram

(3.5)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{\pi_{pt}^*}"'] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p^*}"'] \\
\widehat{K_n^B(pt)}_{I_B} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^B}"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{\pi_{pt*}}"'] & \widehat{K_n(BB)}_{I_B} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p_*}"'] \\
\widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G}
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $\widehat{\pi_*} \circ \widehat{\pi^*} = id$ and $\widehat{p_*} \circ \widehat{p^*} = id$. Consider the commutative diagram:

(3.6)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p^*}"'] & K_n(BG) \arrow[l, "completion_G"'] \arrow[d, "p^*"'] \\
\widehat{K_n(BB)}_{I_B} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p_*}"'] & K_n(BB) \arrow[l, "completion_B"'] \arrow[d, "p_*"'] \\
\widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} & K_n(BG) \arrow[l, "completion_G"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Set $\alpha = \widehat{\pi_{pt}^*}$, $\beta = \widehat{\pi_{pt*}}$ and recall that $K_n^G(G/B) = K_n^B(pt)$. Then by gluing together 3.6 and 3.5 we obtain the diagram 3.1 :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p^*}"'] & K_n(BG) \arrow[l, "completion_G"'] \arrow[d, "p^*"'] \\
\widehat{K_n^B(pt)}_{I_B} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^B}"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & \widehat{K_n(BB)}_{I_B} \arrow[d, "\widehat{p_*}"'] & K_n(BB) \arrow[l, "completion_B"'] \arrow[d, "p_*"'] \\
\widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} & K_n(BG) \arrow[l, "completion_G"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $\beta \circ \alpha = id$, $\widehat{p_*} \circ \widehat{p^*} = id$, and $p_* \circ p^* = id$. This concludes the proof.

Theorem 1 In the following diagram both maps are $K_0^G(pt)$-module isomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{K_n^G(pt)}_{I_G} \arrow[r, "\widehat{Borel_n^G}"] & \widehat{K_n(BG)}_{I_G} & K_n(BG) \arrow[l, "completion_G"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof:
Theorem 3 states that $\widehat{Borel_n^G}$ and $completion_G$ are retracts of $\widehat{Borel_n^B}$ and $completion_B$ which are isomorphisms by theorem 2. Then $\widehat{Borel_n^G}$ and $completion_G$ are also isomorphisms.

References:

1. R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry.* New York, Springer-Verlag(1977).
2. A.S. Merkurjev. *Equivariant K-theory.* Handbook of K-theory. Vol 1, 2:925-954, Springer(2005).
3. R.W. Thomason. *Algebraic K-theory of group scheme actions*, Algebraic topology and algebraic K-theory (Princeton, N.J., 1983), Ann. of Math. Stud., vol.113, Princeton Univ.Press, Princeton, N.J, pp.539-563,(1987).
4. D. Mumford. *Abelian Varieties.* Oxford University Press(1988).
5. D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory.* New York, Springer-Verlag(1994).
6. F. Morel, V. Voevodsky. $\mathbb{A}^1$*-homotopy theory of schemes.* Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. 90: 45-143, (1999).
7. J.C. Jantzen. *Representations of algebraic groups.* Academic press, Orlando-London(1987).
8. B. Totaro. *The Chow ring of a classifying spaces.* „Algebraic K-theory“(Seattle, WA, 1997):249-281, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 67, Amer Math. Soc.(1999).
9. D. Quillen. *Higher algebraic K-theory I.* Lecture Notes in Math., Vol.341:85-147, (1973).
10. V. Voevodsky. $\mathbb{A}^1$*-homotopy theory.* Documenta Mathematica, Extra Volume ICM 1998(I):579-604, (1998).